IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION.

CHAMBER SUMMONS No.848 of 2006
IN
SUIT No.464 of 1973

NUSLI NEVILLE WADIA & OTHERS. ..Plaintiffs.

VERSUS.

PHIROZESHAH ARDESHIR IRANI
AND OTHERS. .. ..Defendants.

SHRI.SATYANARAYANSINGH
RAMNARAYANSINGH THAKUR
AND OTHERS. .. ..Respondents.

RMADHAR SHIVSAMPAT DWIVEDI
AND OTHERS. .. ..APPLICANTS.

Mr. A. M. Rajabally, Advocate for Applicants.

CORAM: S.C.DHARMADHIKARI,J.

DATED: 9TH AUGUST,2006.

Mentioned not on Board,Upon mentioning
taken on Board.

P.C.:-

1] Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicants. He states that the Chamber Summons is duly served on the parties. He undertakes to file affidavit in support within a period of two weeks from today.

2] Perused the Chamber Summons and the

affidavit in support. In the light of the fact that the Decree-Holder's right, title and interest is transferred to the Applicants, it would be appropriate to implead them alongwith the Decree-Holder as Applicants in the pending Chamber Summons which is for removal of obstruction to the Decree for possession of immovable property. The Chamber Summons is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) and (b). The Decree-Holder as also the Applicants would be jointly shown as "Applicants" in the pending Chamber Summons.

[S.C. DHARMADHIKARI,J]